technology SPREADSHEETS

the second

he central issue of spreadsheet risk is the danger posed by the prolific use of unregulated spreadsheets in mission-critical applications throughout all industries. This is a major concern for banking and finance. In the domain of operational risk, in particular, organisations are striving to cope with regulatory and compliance pressures brought about by the likes of Sarbanes-Oxley and Basel II.

In July 2006, the seventh annual conference of the European Spreadsheet Risk Interest Group (Eusprig) showcased the impact that spreadsheet risk can have across industries and highlighted the growing seriousness of the problem.

Problems arising from poor programming, error-prone construction, lack of documentation or evidence of testing, and few controls on data and structural integrity are rife in many spreadsheets used in mission-critical operations across organisations of all sizes.

A recent review presented by Ray Panko of the University of Hawaii, a leading authority on spreadsheet risk, suggests that more than 80% of corporate spreadsheets contain "serious material errors". This is particularly prevalent where the spreadsheets have evolved organically over many years with input from many different analysts. Unfortunately, it is not only human error which poses a risk.

Figure 1. A computing framework for the robust and secure use of spreadsheets within an enterprise



YUSUF JAFRY EXPLAINS WHY SPREADSHEETS CAUSE AS MANY PROBLEMS AS THEY SOLVE, AND LOOKS AT WHAT IS BEING DONE TO LOWER RISK LEVELS SO THAT SPREADSHEETS CAN REMAIN A POWERFUL YET FLEXIBLE TOOL IN THE COMPUTING ENVIRONMENT.



Spreadsheets are wide open to malicious or fraudulent use. Perhaps a classic case of spreadsheet risk is that of rogue US trader John Rusnak. By fraudulently tampering with his own spreadsheets, he was able to accumulate over \$600m in fraudulent trades.

In addition to the inherent risks they pose, spreadsheets are costly to operations because their usage commonly results in the stranding of vital data on decoupled 'islands', often unreachable by the central IT infrastructure. The consequences of data existing in spreadsheet silos are the multiple entry of data (which has its own associated risks), delayed reporting and simply a lack of knowledge as to what is really going on in the business.

THE CORE OF THE PROBLEM By their nature, spreadsheets are simple to create – no specialist programming knowledge is required. And that is the core of the problem. Spreadsheets written by non-programmers (and even by non-specialists) are used across industries, effectively uncontrolled by management.

Moreover, until recently, regulatory bodies and corporate IT departments have traditionally passed the buck. They peddled the line that spreadsheets were merely temporary prototypes dreamt up by the business units and a useful tool for analysts to play with, but occupying no place in the enterprise's computing infrastructure.

The spreadsheet was traditionally viewed as a stopgap solution that would be discarded as soon as the 'proper system' was rolled out. But often that never happened. The technical challenge of creating a solution that matches the flexibility and user acceptability of the spreadsheet is simply too great. Spreadsheet replacement often involves a long, difficult translation into another language.



Executive summary

 Spreadsheets enable experts and non-experts alike to engage in complex operations with relative flexibility and ease. The downside is an increased risk of error and fraud.

 Solutions to these problems are emerging in the form of software development, better availability of auditing, tracking and testing tools, and a growing focus on industry best practice.

For example, the new Basel II regulatory framework encourages banks to build complex internal credit risk models to reduce their regulatory capital requirements and hence improve their bottom line. These models are complex, detailed, deal-specific and require specialist business knowledge from seasoned practitioners devising complex structured models. The models are invariably written in spreadsheets and cannot be transcribed to systems such as C++ without a near-complete loss of flexibility and business agility.

And if the models do get transcribed, it is often a difficult process for the original creator of the analytics to make any amendments or enhancements to them.

Not surprisingly, there is widespread and continuously growing use of spreadsheets for enterprise-level applications, with no defined parallel plans to ever build the corresponding 'proper systems'.

EMERGING SOLUTIONS Software suppliers are responding to the market's need for improvement in the use of spreadsheets within the enterprise. Microsoft has introduced significant improvements to Excel, released with the Office 2007 suite in late January 2007. Part of the problem, as the Microsoft Office program manager recalled at Eusprig, is that Excel was never intended to act as an enterprise platform. It was designed as a simple and convenient desktop tool for users to play around with. It is, in a sense, a testament to the usability of the spreadsheet paradigm that Excel has come to dominance as the business analyst's tool of choice for 'programming'.

In response to this unforeseen market reality, as part of the new functionality, Excel 2007 can be securely managed and run on central servers, accessible from external applications via programmable web-

REGULATORS AND CORPORATE IT DEPARTMENTS HAVE PEDDLED THE LINE THAT SPREADSHEETS WERE MERELY TEMPORARY PROTOTYPES DREAMT UP BY THE BUSINESS UNITS AND A USEFUL TOOL FOR ANALYSTS TO PLAY WITH.

service interfaces. The spreadsheets themselves can thus be locked down and secured.

Risk Integrated also utilises the lock-down approach. The spreadsheets are embedded within a server-based computational engine, completely removed from end-users. The data layer is fundamentally separate from the logic layer (the spreadsheet), so the users can modify the input data (for example, via their web browser), run it 'against' the spreadsheet model, and then send the results to the enterprise reporting layer – all without ever having access to the underlying spreadsheet. Only the designated expert 'super-users' in the organisation are authorised to manipulate the spreadsheet. And even the super-users only have access to the spreadsheets via a tightly controlled, enterprise database-driven versioning system (see *Figure 1*).

As an alternative line of attack, other firms offer solutions that focus on auditing, tracking and testing tools for mitigating the risks associated with authoring and maintaining the spreadsheets themselves. For example, auditing tools are available which monitor any changes made to the spreadsheet, alerting management if necessary when a formula in a cell is modified.

There is even a fledgling movement to establish industry best practice for authoring and maintaining spreadsheets, borrowing from the best practice ideas more usually associated with professional software programming.

An example of this is the 'four eyes principle' (borrowed from the tenets of Extreme Programming), whereby the internal policy of an organisation dictates that two programmers are required to work together whenever any changes are made to the spreadsheets. Although burdensome to enforce and often unappealing to the individuals concerned, this technique can substantially reduce the amount of errors creeping in.

Together, these various technical and policy approaches are enabling spreadsheets to claim a legitimate place in the enterprise computing environment, without forgoing the flexibility which makes them so valuable.

The regulators are also responding positively. A senior technology reviewer from the UK's Financial Services Authority now recognises the role of spreadsheets in banks' strategic planning. With appropriate management and infrastructure, spreadsheets are here to stay – and that is a good thing.

Yusuf Jafry is Chief Technology Officer at Risk Integrated. Yusuf.Jafry@RiskIntegrated.com www.riskintegrated.com